

MAR 28 2006

**LAW OFFICES OF
McGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC**

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS, AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 200

VIENNA, VIRGINIA 22182-3817

TELEPHONE: (703) 761-4100

FACSIMILE/DATA: (703) 761-2375; 761-2376

E-MAIL: MCGINNGIBB @ AOL.COM / ADMIN @ MCGINNIPLAW.COM

SENDER'S E-MAIL: FCOOP @ MCGINNIPLAW.COM

SEAN M. MCGINN
PHILLIP E. MILLER†
FREDERICK E. COOPERRIDER†
JAMES E. HOWARD†
JAMES N. DRESSER
JOHN J. DRESCH
SCOTT M. TULINO
J. BRADLEY WRIGHT†
DONALD J. LECHER

†MEMBER OF BAR OTHER THAN VA

March 28, 2006

**VIA FACSIMILE
(Total No. of Pages Transmitted: 3)**

To: Examiner J. Dinh
Group Art Unit No. 2873

Facsimile No.: (571) 273-8300

From: Frederick E. Cooperrider

Facsimile No.: (703) 761-2375 or 76

Re: Statement of Substance of Interview
U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/693,494
Attorney Docket No. FUJI.049 (US01-03014)

Examiner Dinh:

Enclosed is a Statement of Substance of Interview, which we request be made of record, for the personal interview conducted on March 22, 2006.

Thank you in advance for your kind consideration on this case.

Very truly yours,



Frederick E. Cooperrider
Registration No. 36,769

FEC/fec
Enclosure

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****MAR 28 2006****IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of

Ishikawa, et al.

Serial No.: 10/693,494

Group Art Unit: 2873

Filed: October 27, 2003

Examiner: Dinh, J.

For: IMAGE DISPLAY APPARATUS

Honorable Commissioner of Patents
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

Sir:

In response to the requirement that a statement of the substance of an interview be placed in the record, Applicant hereby submits the following.

Applicants gratefully acknowledge Examiner Dinh and his Primary Examiner for taking time from their busy schedules to conduct a personal interview on March 22, 2006, for the above-referenced Application. The interview was courteous and professional, and it is believed by Applicants' representative that prosecution has been advanced because of this interview.

Concerning the substance of the interview, Applicants' representative explained the Applicants' perspective of the distinction of the present invention over the primary reference Clarke as having a lens array that formed a real image of a two-dimensional image so that it appeared more like a three-dimensional object, due in some exemplary embodiments because the lens array is non-parallel to the display. In contrast, Clarke was intended to form an image on a curved surface that is not shaped specifically to provide a surface such that the projected image would enhance the three-dimensional allusion.

The Examiner responded that he considered the second lens array 22 in Clarke as

Serial No. 10/693,494
Docket No. US01-03014 (FUJI.049)
Interview Summary

2


satisfying the plain meaning of the claim language in those claims describing the lens array as being non-parallel to the image display device.

The Primary Examiner suggested that the terminology "planar lens array" might be useful to distinguish from Clarke.

The discussion then turned to the claim language of "... enhanced three-dimensional impression of said two-dimensional image" The Examiner said that he would prefer that the claim language used structural rather than functional features but that he would consider the claim language submitted in the Amendment filed on February 2, 2006, and possibly consider whether he could suggest some wording that would be more acceptable.

Date

3/28/06



Frederick E. Cooperrider (Reg. No. 36, 769)

McGinn Intellectual Property Law Group, PLLC
8321 Old Courthouse Road, Suite 200
Vienna, VA 22182-3817
(703) 761-4100
Customer No. 21254

CERTIFICATION OF TRANSMISSION

I certify that I transmitted via facsimile to (571) 273-8300 this Statement of Substance of Interview to Examiner J. Dinh on March 28, 2006.



Frederick E. Cooperrider
Reg. No. 36,769